IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 626 of 2003 (M/B)

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sondhi,
W/o Sri Harvinder Singh Sondhi,
D/o Shyam Murari Verma,
R/o 244 Chukhuwala, Opp. Madho Nivas,
Dehradun. …….. Petitioner.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal,
   through Secretary, Home.
2. Sri Ganesh Baunthiyal,
   Station Officer, P.S. Cantt.,
   District Dehradun, Uttaranchal.
3. Superintendent of Police,
   C.B.I., Dehradun.
4. Harvinder Singh Sondhi,
   S/o Sri Guru Charan Singh Sondhi,
   R/o Intelligence Bureau,
   C/o Deputy Director (B),
   12, Albert Road, Mohindra House,
   Near Ranika Bagh, Amritsar (Punjab),
   Permanent R/o Wing No. 1, House No.1/26,
   Prem Nagar, P.S. Cantt.,
   Dehradun.
5. Guru Charan Singh Sondhi,
   S/o Late Tara Singh.
6. Smt. Preetam Kaur W/o Guru Charan Singh.
7. Manvinder Kaur D/o Guru Charan Singh,
   All R/o Wing No.1, House No. 1/26,
   Prem Nagar, P.S. Cantt.,
   Dehradun.
8. Survinder Singh S/o Sri Guru Charan Singh Sondhi,
   R/o VSNL, Opposite Kirti College,
   Kashinath Dhuruv Marg,
   Prabha Devi Dadr (W), Mumbai.
9. Smt. Ravinder Kaur W/o Sarbans Pal Singh.
10. Sarbans Pal Singh S/o Sri Surender Singh,
    All R/o Gali No. 18, House No. 174B,
    Guru Nanak Nagar, Patiyala,
    Punjab. ……. Respondents.

Mr. A.K. Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for the State of Uttaranchal.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon. Rajeev Gupat, C. J.
Hon. M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. A.K. Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for the State of Uttaranchal.

2. Mr. A.K. Gautam, the learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition, now, has become infructuous.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.) **(Rajeev Gupat, C.J.)**
29.03.2006 **29.03.2006**

G